

PCDA WORKING SESSION JUNE 8, 2011

The following initial recommendations were discussed.

Support for all proposals for FY 13 with the following exceptions, notes, and clarifications:

Proposal B:

Seeking clarification of the budget and the \$400,000 in POS money that was mentioned at the June 1 meeting.

Clarification: The estimated cost for Phase 1 is \$2,300,000. Ripken Foundation has raised \$1,100,000, Rec and Parks' budget for FY12 includes \$500,000 (\$400,000 - POS and \$100,000 – City Bond) and \$700,000 in FY13 in slots revenue. The proposal listed the total cost for Phase 1 as \$1.8 million, but that was incorrect.

Proposal C: Towanda Recreation Center

Possibly include James Gross Recreation Center. Need to assess the needs.

Proposal D: Home Improvement Loan

Should be modified to balance interior and exterior improvements.

Proposal E: Vacant Land/Property Management

This proposal was not supported because it was not a community priority. Recommend reallocating this funding to Proposal C: Recreation Centers.

Proposals G-N:

- 1) Provide explanation, including data if possible, describing the program's results to date.
- 2) Describe existing services in Park Heights that are similar. How will this program compliment these services? Demonstrate that there is additional demand for proposed services.
- 3) How does this service fit into the continuum of services?

Proposal H: Public Safety Coordinator

- 1) How is this already being done and by whom?
- 2) Has data already been collected?
- 3) Selection Criteria for Person being considered?

Proposal I: Youth Programs: After School Program Expansion

- 1) Which programs will be selected?
- 2) How will you select programs to participate in the expansion? Criteria
- 3) Will programs who are selected be required to follow through with TASI Findings?
- 3) How will PHR determine if findings/suggestions are adhered to?
- 4) \$5000 expansion grants are for what? Space for after school is an issue now-How will PHR create more space in the future?
- 5) Does this only include programs who are in need? There are good programs currently operating that could also benefit from expansion dollars
- 6) Will this include public school program providers & Rec centers as well?
- 7) Will these funds only be available to existing providers? Because the only way to truly expand after school is to attract new providers to Park Heights.
- 8) Non-profit, for profit providers, Child care facilities who offer after school- General Criteria?
- 9) Pg 22 How will PHR help school undertake a feasibility study analysis for additional space
- 10) What about out of school providers for youth older than 18?

Proposal M: Community Based Programs: Male Youth Outreach Worker

This proposal was not supported because it is an existing program. Recommend funding another health program in the community. A proposal was received from PHCHA and will be evaluated at the next meeting. The proposal was for \$50,000. PHCHA was asked to submit a proposal demonstrating what they could do for \$20,000 as proposal M is only \$20,000.